arXiv:1608.08462v2 [math.GT] 23 Mar 2017

Preprint (2016)

GAROUFALIDIS–LEVINE'S FINITE TYPE INVARIANTS FOR $\mathbb{Z}\pi$-HOMOLOGY EQUIVALENCES FROM 3-MANIFOLDS TO THE 3-TORUS

TADAYUKI WATANABE

Abstract. Garoufalidis and Levine defined a filtration for 3-manifolds equipped with some degree 1 map ($\mathbb{Z}\pi$-homology equivalence) to a fixed 3-manifold N and showed that there is a natural surjection from a module of $\pi = \pi_1 N$-decorated graphs to the graded quotient of the filtration over $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$. In this paper, we show that in the case of $N = T^3$ the surjection of Garoufalidis–Levine is actually an isomorphism over $\mathbb{Q}$. For the proof, we construct a perturbative invariant by applying Fukaya's Morse homotopy theoretic construction to a local coefficient system of the quotient field of $\mathbb{Q}\pi$. The first invariant is an extension of the Casson invariant to $\mathbb{Z}\pi$-homology equivalences to the 3-torus. The results of this paper suggest that there is a highly nontrivial equivariant quantum invariants for 3-manifolds with $b_1 = 3$. We also discuss some generalizations of the perturbative invariant for other target spaces N.

1. Introduction

In [Wa2], we constructed a perturbative invariant of 3-manifolds with $b_1 = 1$ by modifying Fukaya's Morse homotopy theoretic invariant ([Fu]). In this paper, we extend the invariant of [Wa2] to 3-manifolds with higher first Betti numbers and see that it is effectively utilized in the study of finite type invariants of 3-manifolds defined by Garoufalidis and Levine ([GL]).

A theory of finite type invariant of 3-manifolds was first developed by Ohtsuki in [Oh1] for integral homology 3-spheres. Ohtsuki's finite type invariant was defined by means of a filtration on the module spanned by diffeomorphism classes of integral homology 3-spheres. Ohtsuki's filtration was defined with respect to surgeries on algebraically split framed links in S^3. It has been shown that the graded quotient of his filtration is isomorphic over $\mathbb{Q}$ to certain space of trivalent graphs ([Oh1, GO, Le]), with the help of the LMO invariant ([LMO]). Garoufalidis and Levine defined in [GL] a filtration for 3-manifolds equipped with some degree 1 map ($\mathbb{Z}\pi$-homology equivalence) to a fixed 3-manifold. They gave an upper bound for the graded quotient of their filtration by the space of π-decorated graphs. To see that this correspondence is an isomorphism, it is important to find a perturbative invariant that is a counterpart to the LMO invariant. Some perturbative invariants were found for 3-manifolds with $b_1 = 1$ in [Oh2, Oh3, Les2, Les3, Wa2]. We construct

Date: March 24, 2017.
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 57M27, 57R57, 58D29, 58E05.

a perturbative invariant for $\mathbb{Z}\pi$-homology equivalences to the 3-torus via Morse homotopy theory and by utilizing it we show that when the target manifold is the 3-torus T^3, the space of π-decorated graph is naturally isomorphic to the graded quotient in Garoufalidis–Levine's filtration. In this paper, we mainly consider the filtration of [GL] defined by surgery on Y-links (or graph claspers, [GGP, Ha]).

We also give a formula relating the value of our first invariant $\widehat{Z}_2$ for the connected sum of T^3 with an integral homology sphere S to the Casson invariant of S. We also discuss some generalizations of the perturbative invariant for other target spaces N with abelian fundamental groups.

1.1. **$\mathbb{Z}\pi$-homology equivalences.** First, we recall the definitions from [GL]. We fix an oriented closed 3-manifold N and let $\pi = \pi_1 N$. A degree 1 map $f : M \to N$ from another oriented closed 3-manifold M is said to be a *$\mathbb{Z}\pi$-homology equivalence* if the induced map $f_* : H_*(\widetilde{M}) \to H_*(\widetilde{N})$ is an isomorphism. Here, $\widetilde{M}, \widetilde{N}$ are the π-coverings of M, N. Two $\mathbb{Z}\pi$-homology equivalences $f : M \to N$ and $f' : M' \to N$ are *diffeomorphically equivalent* if there exists a diffeomorphism $g : M \to M'$ such that f is homotopic to $f' \circ g$. Let $\mathscr{H}(N)$ be the set of all diffeomorphism equivalence classes of $\mathbb{Z}\pi$-homology equivalences $f : M \to N$.

In the following, we assume for simplicity that $\pi_2 N = 0$. In [GL], it is shown that a degree 1 map $f : M \to N$ can be represented by a nullhomotopic framed link in N, and when $\pi_2 N = 0$ the class of f is uniquely determined by a framed link*. Moreover, in [GL], a "surgery obstruction map"

$$\Phi : \mathscr{H}(N) \to B(\pi)$$

to certain semi-group $B(\pi)$ was defined by assigning a matrix of $\mathbb{Z}\pi$-valued linking numbers (or π-equivariant linking number) of the framed link.

1.2. **$\mathscr{K}(N)$ and finite type invariants.** Let $\mathscr{K}(N) = \operatorname{Ker}\Phi$. An element of $\mathscr{K}(N)$ is given by a *π-algebraically split framed link*, namely, a framed link such that its $\mathbb{Z}\pi$-linking matrix is a diagonal matrix all of whose diagonal entries are ± 1 and that its components are nullhomotopic. Let $\mathscr{F}(N)$ be the vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$ spanned by the set $\mathscr{K}(N)$. In [GL], it was shown that any element of $\mathscr{K}(N)$ can be obtained from N by surgery on a Y-link with only nullhomotopic leaves. We consider the filtration of $\mathscr{F}(N)$ given by surgery on Y-links with only nullhomotopic leaves. For the terminology related to Y-links (or graph-claspers), we refer the reader to [GGP, Ha]. Let $G = \{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_n\}$ be a Y-link consisting of disjoint Y-graphs in M with only nullhomotopic leaves and let

$$[M, G] = \sum_{G' \subset G} (-1)^{|G'|} M^{G'},$$

where $M^{G'}$ denotes the map $M^{G'} \to N$ obtained by surgery on G'. Namely, by surgery a 4-cobordism X between $M^{G'}$ and M is obtained and the identity map on M extends over it uniquely up to homotopy, and then compose with the $\mathbb{Z}\pi$-homology equivalence $f : M \to N$. Let $\mathscr{F}_n^Y(N)$ $(n = 0, 1, 2, \dots)$ be the subspace of $\mathscr{F}(N)$ spanned by $[M, G]$ for Y-link G with n disjoint Y-graphs. This defines a descending filtration on $\mathscr{F}(N)$: $\mathscr{F}(N) = \mathscr{F}_0^Y(N) \supset \mathscr{F}_1^Y(N) \supset \mathscr{F}_2^Y(N) \supset \cdots$. A

*In [GL], the indeterminacy for the case $\pi_2 N \neq 0$ is determined.



FIGURE 1. The relations AS, IHX, Orientation reversal, Linearity and Holonomy. $p, q, r \in \Lambda$ (or $p, q, r \in \widehat{\Lambda}$), $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}$, $g \in \pi$. $\bar{p}$ is the involution of $\mathbb{Z}\pi$ given by $\bar{g} = g^{-1}$.

linear map $\lambda : \mathscr{K}(N) \to A$ to a vector space A is said to be a *finite type invariant of type* n if $\lambda(\mathscr{F}_{n+1}(N)) = 0$.

We call a pair (Γ, α) of an abstract, vertex-oriented, edge-oriented trivalent graph Γ and a map $\alpha : \mathrm{Edges}(\Gamma) \to \mathbb{Q}\pi$ a *π-decorated graph*. We will denote (Γ, α) also by $\Gamma(\alpha)$ or $\Gamma(\alpha(1), \dots, \alpha(m))$ ($m = |\mathrm{Edges}(\Gamma)|$). We define the *degree* of a π-decorated graph as the number of vertices. Let $\mathscr{A}_n(\pi)$ be the vector space over $\mathbb{Q}$ spanned by $\Gamma(\alpha)$ of degree n quotiented by the relations AS, IHX, Orientation Reversal, Linearity and Holonomy (Figure 1). When $\mathrm{Im}\,\alpha \subset \pi$, we call (Γ, α) a *monomial* π-decorated graph. A monomial π-decorated graph (Γ, α) determines uniquely a homotopy class $\bar{\alpha}$ of a map $\Gamma \to N$. We choose a ribbon structure on Γ that is compatible with the vertex-orientation, choose an embedding of the ribbon graph into N that represents $\bar{\alpha}$ and replace the image with a set $G = \{G_1, \dots, G_n\}$ of n disjoint Y-graphs. By assigning $[N, G]$ to $\Gamma(\alpha)$, a well-defined linear map

$$\psi_n : \mathscr{A}_n(\pi) \to \mathscr{F}_n^Y(N)/\mathscr{F}_{n+1}^Y(N)$$

is obtained. In [GL], it was shown that this map is surjective[†]. When n is odd, $\mathscr{A}_n(\pi) = 0$ and hence $\mathscr{F}_n^Y(N) = \mathscr{F}_{n+1}^Y(N)$. The main theorem of this paper is the following.

Theorem 1.1. *When $N = T^3$, the map $\psi_{2n} : \mathscr{A}_{2n}(\pi) \to \mathscr{F}_{2n}^Y(N)/\mathscr{F}_{2n+1}^Y(N)$ is an isomorphism for every positive integer n.*

For the results of other N, see §6.

1.3. **Plan of the paper and conventions.** In the rest of this paper we prove Theorem 1.1.

In Section 2, we define a perturbative invariant $\widehat{Z}_{2n}$ for $\mathbb{Z}\pi$-homology equivalence. The way of construction of the invariant is almost the same as [Wa2].

In Sections 3 and 4, we show that $\widehat{Z}_{2n}$ is a finite type invariant and compute its values on $\mathscr{F}_{2n}^Y(N)/\mathscr{F}_{2n+1}^Y(N)$. The main theorem follows as a corollary of this result and of the surjectivity of ψ_{2n} of [GL]. The outline of the proof is analogous to that of [KT]. Instead of modifying chains, we modify gradients of Morse functions to localize the counts of graphs in an alternating sum.

[†]In [GL], this was proved for $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{2}]$-coefficients.

In Section 5, we relate the value of $\widehat{Z}_2(N\#S)$ for an integral homology sphere S to the Casson invariant of S, as a straightforward example.

In Section 6, we discuss some generalizations of the results for other target spaces N with abelian fundamental groups.

Throughout this paper, manifolds and maps between them are assumed to be smooth. For a gradient ξ of a Morse function, we denote by $\mathscr{A}_p(\xi)$ (resp. $\mathscr{D}_p(\xi)$) the ascending manifold (resp. descending manifold) of a critical point p of ξ. We denote by $\overline{\mathscr{A}}_p(\xi)$ (resp. $\overline{\mathscr{D}}_p(\xi)$) the usual compactification of $\mathscr{A}_p(\xi)$ (resp. $\mathscr{D}_p(\xi)$) into a smooth manifold with corners (e.g., [BH]). We will often abbreviate $\mathscr{D}_p(\xi), \mathscr{A}_p(\xi)$ etc. as $\mathscr{D}_p, \mathscr{A}_p$ etc. for simplicity. By a ribbon graph, we mean a compact surface equipped with a handle structure with only 0- and 1-handles.

2. Perturbative invariant $\widehat{Z}_{2n}$

2.1. **Acyclic Morse complex.** In the following, let $N = T^3$, $\pi = \mathbb{Z}^3$ and $\Lambda = \mathbb{Q}\pi = \mathbb{Q}[t_1^{\pm 1}, t_2^{\pm 1}, t_3^{\pm 1}]$. Let $\widehat{\Lambda}$ be the field of fractions $Q(\Lambda)$ of Λ. We fix connected oriented 2-submanifolds $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \Sigma_3$ of N so that they form a basis of $\Omega_2(N) \cong \mathbb{Z}^3$. By means of these data, we define the holonomy of a path in N as follows. Let J be one of intervals $[a,b]$, $[a,b)$, $(a,b]$, (a,b) $(-\infty \leq a < b \leq \infty)$ and consider a piecewise smooth path $\gamma : J \to N$ that intersects each Σ_i transversally in finitely many points. In this case, let $n_i = \langle \gamma, \Sigma_i \rangle$ $(i = 1,2,3)$ and define

$$\mathrm{Hol}(\gamma) = t_1^{n_1} t_2^{n_2} t_3^{n_3}.$$

For a γ with disconnected domain, we define $\mathrm{Hol}(\gamma)$ as the product of Hol of all components.

For a $\mathbb{Z}\pi$-homology equivalence $f : M \to N$ and a Morse function $h : M \to \mathbb{R}$, a chain complex $C_*(f,h)$ is defined as follows. Take a Riemann metric ρ on M and consider the gradient ξ of h. Here, we assume without loss of generality that the critical values of the critical points of h are all different and critical points are disjoint from $\Sigma_1 \cup \Sigma_2 \cup \Sigma_3$. The gradient ξ is Morse–Smale for generic metric ρ, namely, ascending manifolds and descending manifolds of ξ are transversal. In this case, there are finitely many (unparametrized) flow-lines between a critical point of index i and a critical point of index $i-1$. For each such flow-line with parametrization $\gamma : \mathbb{R} \to M$, we assign its weight $\pm\mathrm{Hol}(f \circ \gamma)$, where the sign is the same one as given in [Wa1, §2.5]. For a critical point p of h of index i and a critical point q of h of index $i-1$, we define the incidence coefficient $n(\xi; p, q) \in \Lambda$ as the sum of the weights of flow-lines between p and q. Let $P_i = P_i(h)$ be the set of critical points of h of index i and let $C_i(f,h) = \Lambda^{P_i}$. We define the boundary $\partial : C_i(f,h) \to C_{i-1}(f,h)$ by

$$\partial(p) = \sum_{q \in P_{i-1}} n(\xi; p, q)\, q.$$

$(C_*(f,h), \partial)$ forms a chain complex and its homology is identified with $H_*(\widetilde{M}; \mathbb{Q})$ as a Λ-module.

Next, we put $C_i(f,h;\widehat{\Lambda}) = C_i(f,h) \otimes_\Lambda \widehat{\Lambda} = \widehat{\Lambda}^{P_i}$. Since $\widehat{\Lambda}$ is a flat Λ-module (e.g., [We, Theorem 3.2.2]), we have $\mathrm{Tor}_1^\Lambda(\widehat{\Lambda}, A) = 0$ for every Λ-module A. Hence by the



FIGURE 2. A trivalent graph (left) and a $\vec{C}$-graph (right)

universal coefficient theorem ([CE, Theorem VI.3.3]), we have

$$H_i(C_*(f,h;\widehat{\Lambda})) \cong H_i(\widetilde{M};\mathbb{Q}) \otimes_\Lambda \widehat{\Lambda}.$$

Since f is a $\mathbb{Z}\pi$-homology equivalence, we have $H_i(\widetilde{M};\mathbb{Q}) \cong H_i(\widetilde{N};\mathbb{Q}) \cong H_i(\mathrm{pt};\mathbb{Q})$. Since the action of π on this module is trivial, we have $H_0(\widetilde{M};\mathbb{Q})\otimes_\Lambda \widehat{\Lambda} = 0$. Namely, $(C_*(f,h;\widehat{\Lambda}), \partial \otimes 1)$ is acyclic.

Since the chain complex $C = C_*(f,h;\widehat{\Lambda})$ of based free $\widehat{\Lambda}$-modules is acyclic, it follows that $\mathrm{End}_{\widehat{\Lambda}}(C) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\widehat{\Lambda}}(C,C)$ is acyclic too, by Künneth theorem ([CE, Theorem VI.3.1a]). Since $1 \in \mathrm{End}_{\widehat{\Lambda}}(C)$ is a cycle of degree 0, there exists an element $g \in \mathrm{End}_{\widehat{\Lambda}}(C)$ of degree 1 such that $\partial g + g\partial = 1$ (g is called a *combinatorial propagator*).

2.2. **Perturbation theory with holonomies in $\widehat{\Lambda}$.** This part is almost a copy from [Wa2].

Let $h_1, h_2, \ldots, h_{3n} : M \to \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence of Morse functions and let ξ_i be the gradient of h_i. We consider an edge-oriented trivalent graph with its sets of vertices and edges labelled and with $2n$ vertices and $3n$ edges. By the labelling $\{1,2,\ldots,3n\} \to \mathrm{Edges}(\Gamma)$ of Γ, we identify edges with numbers. Choose some of the edges and split each chosen edge into two arcs. We attach elements of $P_*(h_i)$ on the two 1-valent vertices (*white-vertices*) that appear after the splitting of the i-th edge. We call such obtained graph a $\vec{C}$*-graph* ($\vec{C} = (C_*(f,h_1;\widehat{\Lambda}), \ldots, C_*(f,h_{3n};\widehat{\Lambda}))$, see Figure 2). If a $\vec{C}$-graph is obtained from a connected trivalent graph, then we call it a *primitive* $\vec{C}$-graph. A $\vec{C}$-graph has two kinds of "edges": a compact edge, which is connected, and a separated edge, which consists of two arcs. We call vertices that are not white vertices *black vertices*. If p_i (resp. q_i) is the critical point attached on the input (resp. output) white vertex of a separated edge i, we define the degree of i by $\deg(i) = \mathrm{ind}\, p_i - \mathrm{ind}\, q_i$, where ind denotes the Morse index. We define the degree of a compact edge i by $\deg(i) = 1$. We define the degree of a $\vec{C}$-graph by $\deg(\Gamma) = (\deg(1), \deg(2), \ldots, \deg(3n))$.

We say that a continuous map I from a $\vec{C}$-graph Γ to M is a *flow-graph* for the sequence $\vec{\xi} = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \ldots, \xi_{3n})$ if it satisfies the following conditions (see Figure 3).

(1) Every critical point p_i attached on the i-th edge is mapped by I to p_i in M.
(2) The restriction of I to each edge of Γ is a smooth embedding and at each point x of the i-th edge that is not on a white vertex, the tangent vector of I at x (chosen along the edge orientation) is a positive multiple of $(-\xi_i)_x$.



FIGURE 3. A flow-graph for $\vec{\xi} = (\xi_1, \xi_2, \xi_3)$

For a $\vec{C}$-graph Γ, let $\mathscr{M}_\Gamma(\vec{\xi})$ be the set of all flow-graphs for $\vec{\xi}$ from Γ to M. By extracting black vertices, a natural map from $\mathscr{M}_\Gamma(\vec{\xi})$ to the configuration space $C_{2k}(M)$ of ordered tuples of $2k$ points is defined. It follows from a property of the gradient that this map is injective. This induces a topology on the set $\mathscr{M}_\Gamma(\vec{\xi})$.

Lemma 2.1 (Fukaya [Fu, Wa1])**.** *If $\vec{h} = (h_1, h_2, \dots, h_{3n})$ and ρ are generic, then for a $\vec{C}$-graph Γ with $2k$ black vertices and $\deg(\Gamma) = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$, the space $\mathscr{M}_\Gamma(\vec{\xi})$ is a compact 0-dimensional manifold. Moreover, this property can be assumed for all $\vec{C}$-graphs with $2k$ black vertices simultaneously.*

When the assumption of Lemma 2.1 is satisfied, we may define an orientation of $\mathscr{M}_\Gamma(\vec{\xi})$ by the same way as [Wa1]. In [Wa1], the number $\#\mathscr{M}_\Gamma(\vec{\xi})$ was defined as the sum of the signs determined by the orientations. The following definition of $\#\mathscr{M}_\Gamma(\vec{\xi})$ is different from that of [Wa1].

$$\#\mathscr{M}_\Gamma(\vec{\xi}) = \sum_{I \in \mathscr{M}_\Gamma(\vec{\xi})} \varepsilon(I), \quad \varepsilon(I) = \pm \bigotimes_{e \in \mathrm{Edges}(\Gamma)} \mathrm{Hol}(f \circ I(e)),$$

We take the sign $\pm$ as the one determined by the orientation of I. The intersection number of the i-th edge with Σ is determined by the orientations of the edge and of Σ and that of M, by $\mathrm{ori}(i\text{-th edge}) \wedge \mathrm{ori}(\Sigma) = \mathrm{ori}(M)$.

We define an action of a monomial $u_1 \otimes u_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{3n}$ on a $\vec{C}$-graph as follows.

$$(u_1 \otimes u_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes u_{3n}) \cdot \Gamma = \Gamma(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{3n}).$$

The right hand side is a π-decorated $\vec{C}$-graph. By extending this by $\mathbb{Q}$-linearity, the formal linear combination $\#\mathscr{M}_\Gamma(\vec{\xi}) \cdot \Gamma$ of π-decorated $\vec{C}$-graphs is defined.

We denote by $\mathscr{A}_{2n}(\widehat{\Lambda})$ the space of $\widehat{\Lambda}$-decorated graphs, defined by replacing $\mathbb{Q}\pi$ in the definition of π-decorated graph with $\widehat{\Lambda}$. Let $\vec{g} = (g^{(1)}, g^{(2)}, \dots, g^{(3n)})$ be a sequence of combinatorial propagators for $\vec{C} = (C_*(f, h_1; \widehat{\Lambda}), \dots, C_*(f, h_{3n}; \widehat{\Lambda}))$. Then we define $z_{2n}(f, \vec{\xi}) \in \mathscr{A}_{2n}(\widehat{\Lambda})$ by

$$z_{2n}(f, \vec{\xi}) = \mathrm{Tr}_{\vec{g}}\Big(\sum_\Gamma \#\mathscr{M}_\Gamma(\vec{\xi}) \cdot \Gamma\Big). \tag{2.1}$$

Here, the sum is taken over all primitive $\vec{C}$-graphs Γ with $2k$ black vertices and $\deg(\Gamma) = (1, 1, \dots, 1)$, and $\mathrm{Tr}_{\vec{g}}$ is defined as follows. For simplicity, we assume that the labels for the separated edges in a π-decorated $\vec{C}$-graph $\Gamma(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{3n})$ are $1, 2, \dots, r$. Let p_i, q_i be the critical points on the input and output of the i-th edge of Γ, respectively and let $g^{(i)}_{q_i p_i} \in \widehat{\Lambda}$ be the coefficient of p_i in $g^{(i)}(q_i)$. Then $\mathrm{Tr}_{\vec{g}}(\Gamma(u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{3n}))$ is the equivalence class in $\mathscr{A}_{2n}(\widehat{\Lambda})$ of a $\widehat{\Lambda}$-decorated graph

obtained by identifying each pair of the two white vertices of the separated edges in

$$\Gamma(-g^{(1)}_{q_1p_1}u_1,\dots,-g^{(r)}_{q_rp_r}u_r,u_{r+1},\dots,u_{3n}).$$

The definition of Tr can be generalized to graphs with other degrees in the same manner. The following lemma is an analogue of [Wa2, Lemma 3.2].

Lemma 2.2. *$z_{2n}(f,\vec{\xi})$ does not depend on the choices of $\vec{g}$ and of the hypersurfaces $\Sigma_1,\Sigma_2,\Sigma_3\subset N$ within their oriented bordism classes.*

We shall define $\hat{z}_{2n}$ by adding a correction term to $z_{2n}(f,\vec{\xi})$. Take a compact oriented 4-manifold X with $\partial X=M$ and with $\chi(X)=0$. By the condition $\chi(X)=0$, the outward normal vector field to M in $TX|_{\partial X}$ can be extended to a nonsingular vector field ν_X on X. Let T^vX be the orthogonal complement of the span of ν_X. Then T^vX is a rank 3 subbundle of TX that extends TM. Take a sequence $\vec{\gamma}=(\gamma_1,\gamma_2,\dots,\gamma_{3n})$ of generic sections of T^vX so that γ_i is an extension of $-\xi_i$. We define

$$z^{\mathrm{anomaly}}_{2n}(\vec{\gamma})=\sum_{\Gamma}\#\mathscr{M}^{\mathrm{local}}_{\Gamma}(\vec{\gamma})\,[\Gamma(1,1,\dots,1)]\in\mathscr{A}_{2n}(\widehat{\Lambda}).$$

The sum is taken over all primitive $\vec{C}$-graphs with $2k$ vertices and with only compact edges. Here, $\mathscr{M}^{\mathrm{local}}_{\Gamma}(\vec{\gamma})$ is the moduli space of affine graphs in the fibers of T^vX whose i-th edge is a positive scalar multiple of γ_i. The number $\#\mathscr{M}^{\mathrm{local}}_{\Gamma}(\vec{\gamma})\in\mathbb{Z}$ is the count of the signs of the affine graphs that are determined by transversal intersections of some codimension 2 chains in a configuration space bundle over X. See [Sh, Wa1] for detail. By a similar argument as in [Sh, Wa1], it can be shown that $z^{\mathrm{anomaly}}_{2n}(\vec{\gamma})-\mu_{2n}\operatorname{sign}X$, where $\mu_{2n}\in\mathscr{A}_{2n}(\widehat{\Lambda})$ is the constant given in [Wa1], does not depend on the choices of X, ν_X and the extension $\vec{\gamma}$ of $\vec{\xi}$. We define $\hat{z}_{2n}(f,\vec{\xi})\in\mathscr{A}_{2n}(\widehat{\Lambda})$ by the following formula.

$$\hat{z}_{2n}(f,\vec{\xi})=z_{2n}(f,\vec{\xi})-z^{\mathrm{anomaly}}_{2n}(\vec{\gamma})+\mu_{2n}\operatorname{sign}X.$$

Theorem 2.3. *$\hat{z}_{2n}(f,\vec{\xi})$ is an invariant of the diffeomorphism equivalence class of $\mathbb{Z}\pi$-homology equivalence $f:M\to N$ and of oriented bordism classes of $\Sigma_1,\Sigma_2,\Sigma_3$.*

Proof of Theorem 2.3 can be done by using Cerf theory and is almost the same as [Wa2, Theorem 3.3]. The invariance under a homotopy of f follows by the graph relations.

Next, we extend the invariant to possibly disconnected graphs. For each $n\geq 1$, we fix a collection of rational numbers $\{a^{2n}_{\Gamma}\}_{\Gamma}$ (Γ is a labelled edge-oriented connected trivalent graph of degree $2n$) so that $\sum_{\Gamma}a^{2n}_{\Gamma}[\Gamma]=\mu_{2n}$. Let Γ be a not necessarily primitive $\vec{C}$-graph and let $\{\Gamma_1,\Gamma_2,\dots,\Gamma_{r(\Gamma)}\}$ be the set of primitive components of Γ. For a sequence $\vec{\xi}=(\xi_1,\dots,\xi_{2n})$ of gradient on M, let $\vec{\xi}_{\Gamma_i}$ be the subsequence of $\vec{\xi}$ restricted to the edge-labels for Γ_i. Let $\vec{\gamma}_{\Gamma_i}$ be the restriction of $\vec{\gamma}$. Let $n(\Gamma_i)$ be the number of black vertices of Γ_i. We define $I_{\Gamma_i},\widehat{I}_{\Gamma_i}$ by the following formula.

$$I_{\Gamma_i}=\#\mathscr{M}_{\Gamma_i}(\vec{\xi}_{\Gamma_i})$$

$$\widehat{I}_{\Gamma_i}=\begin{cases}\#\mathscr{M}_{\Gamma_i}(\vec{\xi}_{\Gamma_i}) & \text{if } \Gamma_i \text{ has separated edge}\\ \#\mathscr{M}_{\Gamma_i}(\vec{\xi}_{\Gamma_i})-\#\mathscr{M}^{\mathrm{local}}_{\Gamma_i}(\vec{\gamma}_{\Gamma_i})+a^{n(\Gamma_i)}_{\Gamma_i}\operatorname{sign}X & \text{otherwise}\end{cases}$$

Then we have $\#\mathscr{M}_\Gamma(\vec{\xi}) = I_{\Gamma_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes I_{\Gamma_{r(\Gamma)}}$. By means of these symbols, we define

$$Z_{2n}(f,\vec{\xi}) = \frac{1}{2^{3n}(2n)!(3n)!}\mathrm{Tr}_{\vec{g}}\Big(\sum_\Gamma (I_{\Gamma_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes I_{\Gamma_{r(\Gamma)}})\cdot\Gamma\Big),$$

$$\widehat{Z}_{2n}(f,\vec{\xi}) = \frac{1}{2^{3n}(2n)!(3n)!}\mathrm{Tr}_{\vec{g}}\Big(\sum_\Gamma (\widehat{I}_{\Gamma_1}\otimes\cdots\otimes \widehat{I}_{\Gamma_{r(\Gamma)}})\cdot\Gamma\Big),$$

where the sum is taken for all $\vec{C}$-graphs Γ (not necessarily primitive) of degree $2n$.

Theorem 2.4. *$\widehat{Z}_{2n}(f,\vec{\xi})$ is an invariant of the diffeomorphism equivalence class of $\mathbb{Z}\pi$-homology equivalence $f : M \to N$ and of oriented bordism classes of $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \Sigma_3$.*

Since the bifurcations of $\widehat{I}_{\Gamma_i}$ are exactly of the same kinds as those of $\hat{z}_{2n}(f,\vec{\xi})$, the invariance of $\widehat{Z}_{2n}(f,\vec{\xi})$ follows from the proof of invariance of $\hat{z}_{2n}(f,\vec{\xi})$. In the following, we abbreviate $\widehat{Z}_{2n}(f,\vec{\xi})$ as $\widehat{Z}_{2n}(M)$, keeping in mind that $\widehat{Z}_{2n}(M)$ depends not only on the diffeomorphism type of M but also of the choice of a degree 1 map $f : M \to N$.

3. $\widehat{Z}_{2n}$ as finite type invariant: finiteness

In this and the next section, we prove the following theorem, which implies that $\widehat{Z}_{2n}$ induces the inverse of ψ_{2n}.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $n \geq 1$.*

1. *$\widehat{Z}_{2n}$ is a finite type invariant of type $2n$ in the sense of Garoufalidis–Levine's filtration $\mathscr{F}^Y_*$, namely, $\widehat{Z}_{2n}(\mathscr{F}^Y_{2n+1}(N)) = 0$.*
2. *Let $\Gamma(\alpha)$ be a monomial π-decorated graph of degree $2n$. The following identity holds.*
$$\widehat{Z}_{2n}(\psi_{2n}([\Gamma(\alpha)])) = [\Gamma(\alpha)].$$

Theorem 1.1 follows as a corollary to Theorem 3.1 and a result of [GL]. By considering similarly as the main theorem of [Le], it turns out that $1+\sum_{n\geq 1}\widehat{Z}_{2n} \in \prod_{n\geq 0}\mathscr{A}_{2n}(\pi)$ is universal among $\mathbb{Q}$-valued finite type invariants.

3.1. **Morse function on N compatible with Y-link.** For $m \geq 2n$, let $G = \{G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_m\}$ be a Y-link consisting of Y-graphs with only nullhomotopic leaves. Let $V_1, V_2, \ldots, V_m$ be closed regular neighborhoods of $G_1, G_2, \ldots, G_m$ respectively that are compact manifolds with boundaries.

Take a Morse function $\mu_i : V_i \to (-\infty, 0]$ on V_i satisfying the following conditions.

- $\mu_i^{-1}(0) = \partial V_i$.
- The set of critical points of μ_i consists of one critical point of index 0 and three critical points of index 1.

We extend the Morse function $\mu_1 \cup \cdots \cup \mu_m$ on $V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_m$ over whole of N to obtain a Morse function $h : N \to \mathbb{R}$ (Figure 4). Here, we choose h so that $h^{-1}(-\infty, 0] = V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_m$. Moreover, let $h_1, \ldots, h_{3n} : N \to \mathbb{R}$ be a sequence of Morse functions that are obtained from h by small perturbations so that they satisfy the genericity of Lemma 2.1, and let $\xi_1, \ldots, \xi_{3n}$ be their gradients. We may also assume that $h_j^{-1}(0) = \partial V_1 \cup \cdots \cup \partial V_m$ and $h_j^{-1}(-\infty, 0] = V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_m$.



FIGURE 4. Morse function on N compatible with Y-link



FIGURE 5. Resolutions of crossings with G_j by bordism modification of Σ_i.

Lemma 3.2. *We may assume that $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \Sigma_3$ are all disjoint from $V_1 \cup \cdots \cup V_m$ by modifying $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \Sigma_3$ within their oriented bordism classes suitably.*

Proof. If Σ_i intersects a Y-graph G_j, then we may arrange that Σ_i does not intersect G_j by bordism modifications (Figure 5), since the leaves are nullhomotopic in N. The bordism may be taken to be disjoint from other Y-graphs. □

In the following, we assume the condition of Lemma 3.2. Although the result of the bordism modification of Σ_i may have self-intersections, such a modification of Σ_i does not change the value of $\widehat{Z}_{2n}$ and there is no problem.

3.2. **Y-link surgery and coherent family of gradients.** We see that after modifying the gradients for μ_i and the ones induced on the result of surgery in V_i, the types of the flow-graphs that contribute to the alternating sum will be restricted to special ones. As is well-known, the Y-surgery in V_i can be realized by a Torelli surgery along ∂V_i. Namely, there is a diffeomorphism $\varphi_i : \partial V_i \to \partial V_i$ that represents an element of the Torelli group and the surgery is obtained by cutting N along ∂V_i and gluing V_i back after applying φ_i to ∂V_i. After this surgery, a Morse function $h^{G_i} : N^{G_i} \to \mathbb{R}$ is induced from h. A Morse function $h^{G'} : N^{G'} \to \mathbb{R}$ on the result of surgery on a Y-link $G' \subset G$ is induced in a similar way. Let $\xi^{G'}$ denote the gradient for $h^{G'}$. The manifold N^{G_i} can be considered as the one obtained from N by replacing a cylindrical neighborhood of ∂V_i in V_i with the mapping cylinder of φ_i and similarly $N^{G'}$ is obtained by iterating such replacements. Let $f^{G'}$ denote a $\mathbb{Z}\pi$-homology equivalence $N^{G'} \to N$ obtained by projecting the mapping cylinders suitably onto ∂V_i's.

Let $p_1^{(i)}, p_2^{(i)}, p_3^{(i)}$ be the critical points of the Morse function $\mu_i : V_i \to (-\infty, 0]$ of index 1. Let $V_i^{G_i}$ be the part of N^{G_i} corresponding to V_i. We also denote by $p_1^{(i)}, p_2^{(i)}, p_3^{(i)}$ the critical points of the induced Morse function $\mu_i^{G_i} : V_i^{G_i} \to (-\infty, 0]$

of index 1. Let $A_k^{(i)}$ $(k=1,2,3)$ be the intersection of $\mathscr{A}_{p_k^{(i)}}(\xi)$ with V_i and let $B_k^{(i)}$ $(k=1,2,3)$ be the intersection of $\mathscr{A}_{p_k^{(i)}}(\xi^{G_i})$ with $V_i^{G_i}$. The restrictions $A_k^{(i)} \cap \partial V_i$ $(k=1,2,3)$ and $B_k^{(i)} \cap \partial V_i$ $(k=1,2,3)$ both forms a disjoint triple of simple closed curves in ∂V_i. Note that $A_k^{(i)} \cap \partial V_i$ and $B_k^{(i)} \cap \partial V_i$ may intersect and they are bordant in ∂V_i. Let $C_k^{(i)}$ be a bordism between $A_k^{(i)} \cap \partial V_i$ and $B_k^{(i)} \cap \partial V_i$ in ∂V_i whose image is not the whole of ∂V_i.

Lemma–Definition 3.3. *The gradients of $\mu_i, \mu_i^{G_i}$ and the bordism $C_k^{(i)}$ can be chosen so that they satisfy the following.*

1. *There exists an embedded ribbon graph $T_i \subset \partial V_i$ and $C_k^{(i)}$ is a smaller ribbon graph immersed in T_i for $k=1,2,3$.*
2. *Let $T_i^{(0)} \subset T_i^{(1)} = T_i$ be a handle filtration associated with the ribbon structure of T_i. Then the image of $C_k^{(i)}$ in each 1-handle of T_i consists of parallel copies of (not necessarily disjoint) bands.*
3. *The 0- and 1-handles of T_i can be taken as arbitrarily small tubular neighborhoods of their cores. Namely, for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we may arrange that the diameters of 0-handles are less than ε and that the thickness of 1-handles are less than $\varepsilon/2$.*

We say that such a family $\{(h^{G'}, \xi^{G'})\}_{G' \subset G}$ is coherent*.*

Proof. Put $\gamma_k^{(i)} = A_k^{(i)} \cap \partial V_i - B_k^{(i)} \cap \partial V_i$ $(k=1,2,3)$. Then $\gamma_k^{(i)}$ is nullhomologous in ∂V_i. Take a minimal Morse function $\nu : \partial V_i \to \mathbb{R}$ such that the maximal point of ν is disjoint from every $\gamma_k^{(i)}$. Now we define a filtration $T_i^{(0)} \subset T_i^{(1)} = T_i$ by the Morse–Smale filtration for the gradient of ν up to dimension 1. We modify $\gamma_k^{(i)}$ by applying the negative gradient flow $\Phi_{-\nu} : \mathbb{R} \times \partial V_i \to \partial V_i$ for ν. For a sufficiently large T, the curve $\Phi_{-\nu}(T, \cdot) \circ \gamma_k^{(i)}$ concentrates on a small neighborhood of the 1-skeleton of the cellular decomposition of ∂V_i with respect to the gradient of ν. Moreover, since $\gamma_k^{(i)}$ is nullhomologous, the image of $\Phi_{-\nu}(T, \cdot) \circ \gamma_k^{(i)}$ in 1-handles of T_i consist of parallel copies of pairs of two arcs with opposite orientations. The intersections between curves and self-intersections are all squeezed into 0-handles. Then it will be easy to extend each curve $\gamma_k^{(i)}$ to a nullbordism in T_i so that the extension is an immersed ribbon graph as desired. All the modifications above can be realized by isotopies of ∂V_i and the isotopies can be obtained by modifying the gradient of μ_i. □

We remark that the bordisms $C_k^{(i)}$ for different k may intersect each other.

Let $D_k^{(i)}$ be the 2-cycle in the closed 3-manifold $W_i = V_i \cup_\partial (-V_i^{G_i})$ defined by

$$D_k^{(i)} = A_k^{(i)} - B_k^{(i)} + C_k^{(i)}.$$

We denote the class of $D_k^{(i)}$ in $H_2(W_i)$ by $\alpha_k^{(i)}$.

Lemma 3.4. $\langle \alpha_1^{(i)}, \alpha_2^{(i)}, \alpha_3^{(i)} \rangle = \pm 1$.

Proof. We assume that the mapping cylinder $C(\varphi_i)$ for the Torelli surgery is included in a small neighborhood of ∂V_i in $V_i^{G_i}$. Let $\kappa < 0$ be a level such that

- all the critical points of μ_i and of $\mu_i^{G_i}$ lie in $\mu_i^{-1}(-\infty,\kappa)$ and in $(\mu_i^{G_i})^{-1}(-\infty,\kappa)$ respectively and
- $C(\varphi_i)\subset(\mu_i^{G_i})^{-1}(\kappa,0]$.

Let $\overline{A}_k^{(i)}=A_k^{(i)}\cap\mu_i^{-1}[\kappa,0]$ and $\overline{B}_k^{(i)}=B_k^{(i)}\cap(\mu_i^{G_i})^{-1}[\kappa,0]$. Consider the closed 3-manifold

$$M(\varphi_i)=\mu_i^{-1}[\kappa,0]\cup_\partial -(\mu_i^{G_i})^{-1}[\kappa,0],$$

where $\mu_i^{-1}(0)=(\mu_i^{G_i})^{-1}(0)=\partial V_i$, and $\mu_i^{-1}(\kappa)$ and $(\mu_i^{G_i})^{-1}(\kappa)$ are glued together by the natural identification of $\mu_i^{-1}(-\infty,\kappa]$ and $(\mu_i^{G_i})^{-1}(-\infty,\kappa]$. Then $M(\varphi_i)$ is the mapping torus of φ_i. The 2-chains

$$\overline{D}_k^{(i)}=\overline{A}_k^{(i)}-\overline{B}_k^{(i)}+C_k^{(i)}\quad(k=1,2,3)$$

represent 2-cycles in $M(\varphi_i)$. Since $A_k^{(i)}\cap\mu_i^{-1}(-\infty,\kappa]$ $(k=1,2,3)$ are mutually disjoint and so are $B_k^{(i)}\cap\mu_i^{-1}(-\infty,\kappa]$ $(k=1,2,3)$, we have

$$\langle D_1^{(i)},D_2^{(i)},D_3^{(i)}\rangle=\langle\overline{D}_1^{(i)},\overline{D}_2^{(i)},\overline{D}_3^{(i)}\rangle.$$

According to [Jo, Second Definition, p.170], the value of the first Johnson homomorphism for φ_i is

$$\langle\overline{D}_1^{(i)},\overline{D}_2^{(i)},\overline{D}_3^{(i)}\rangle\,[\gamma_1^{(i)}]\wedge[\gamma_2^{(i)}]\wedge[\gamma_3^{(i)}]\in\textstyle\bigwedge^3 H_1(\partial V_i),$$

where $c_k^{(i)}$ is a 1-cycle of ∂V_i that are homologous to $p_k^{(i)}$. This must agree with $\pm[\gamma_1^{(i)}]\wedge[\gamma_2^{(i)}]\wedge[\gamma_3^{(i)}]$ for the Y-surgery. Hence we have $\langle D_1^{(i)},D_2^{(i)},D_3^{(i)}\rangle=\pm1$. □

Here we make the following assumption.

Hypothesis 3.5. *The indices of $\alpha_1^{(i)},\alpha_2^{(i)},\alpha_3^{(i)}$ are chosen so that it is compatible with the cyclic order of $\alpha_1^{(i)},\alpha_2^{(i)},\alpha_3^{(i)}$ determined from the vertex-orientation of the Y-graph G_i. Moreover, we orient the 2-cycles so that $\langle\alpha_1^{(i)},\alpha_2^{(i)},\alpha_3^{(i)}\rangle=1$.*

3.3. **Alternating sum for coherent family.**

Lemma 3.6. *If $\{(h^{G'},\xi^{G'})\}_{G'\subset G}$ is coherent, then the map $f_*^{G'}:C_*(f^{G'},h^{G'};\widehat{\Lambda})\to C_*(\mathrm{id},h;\widehat{\Lambda})$ induced by the $\mathbb{Z}\pi$-homology equivalence $f^{G'}:N^{G'}\to N$ is a chain isomorphism.*

Proof. Since the sets of critical points of h and $h^{G'}$ are the same, the underlying $\widehat{\Lambda}$-modules can be taken to be the same one. Hence it suffices to check that the boundary operator is invariant under the surgery on G_i. The flow-lines that may change the count of flow-lines are those from critical points outside V_i to critical points inside V_i. Hence the change of the count under surgery is given by the intersection of the curves $\mathscr{D}_p(\xi)\cap\partial V_i$ and $(A_k^{(i)}\cup(-B_k^{(i)}))\cap\partial V_i$. Generically, $\mathscr{D}_p(\xi)\cap\partial V_i$ intersects transversally with only edges of the ribbon graph T_i of Lemma 3.3. Since it always intersects both sides of each band of $C_k^{(i)}$ simultaneously, the intersection number cancels in pair. □

Under the isomorphism of Lemma 3.6, we may identify all the Morse complexes for $(f^{G'},h^{G'})$ $(G'\subset G)$. Accordingly, the combinatorial propagators may be taken to be a common one.

Lemma 3.7. *If $\{(h_j^{G'}, \xi_j^{G'})\}_{G' \subset G}$ is coherent for each $j \in \{1, 2, \dots, 3n\}$ and if the parameter ε in Lemma 3.3 is sufficiently small, then we have the following.*

1. *$\widehat{Z}_{2n}([N, G])$ agrees with the alternating sum of Z_{2n} for $[N, G]$ (we denote this value by $Z_{2n}([N, G])$).*
2. *The flow-graphs that may contribute to $Z_{2n}([N, G])$ are such that for each i there are exactly one black vertex in V_i or $V_i^{G_i}$. (We say that such a flow-graph* occupies *G.)*

Proof. Let X be a compact 4-manifold with $\partial X = N$ considered in the definition of the correction term for $\hat{z}_{2n}$ and let $\vec{\gamma}_X$ be a sequence of sections of $T^v X$ that extends $\vec{\xi}$ on $T^v X|_N = TN$. There exists a compact 4-manifold X_i and a sequence of sections $\vec{\gamma}_{X_i}$ of $T^v X_i$ such that $\partial X_i = W_i$, $\operatorname{sign} X_i = 0$ and that $\vec{\gamma}_{X_i}$ agrees with $\vec{\gamma}_X$ on $V_i \subset \partial X_i$. By the additivity of the signature, the signature is unchanged under attaching X_i to X along V_i. Moreover, by connected summing several $K3 \# (-K3)$ ($K3$ is the Kummer $K3$ surface, $\chi(K3) = 24$) and T^4 ($\chi(T^4) = 0$) to X_i, we may assume that $\chi(X \cup_{V_i} X_i) = \chi(X) = 0$ without changing the signature. By the assumption of X_i, the term $a_{\Gamma_k}^{n(\Gamma_k)} \operatorname{sign} X$ in $\widehat{I}_{\Gamma_k} = \#\mathscr{M}_{\Gamma_k}(\vec{\xi}_{\Gamma_k}) - \#\mathscr{M}_{\Gamma_k}^{\mathrm{local}}(\vec{\gamma}_{\Gamma_k}) + a_{\Gamma_k}^{n(\Gamma_k)} \operatorname{sign} X$ does not change under the surgery. Hence the terms of the form $a_{\Gamma_k}^{n(\Gamma_k)} \operatorname{sign} X$ can be factored out as a constant $\mu_{2n'} \operatorname{sign} X$ for some n'. Then the problem is reduced to the case of less n without terms of the form $a_{\Gamma_k}^{n(\Gamma_k)} \operatorname{sign} X$.

In the rest of the proof, we consider the alternating sum $\mathscr{S}$ of the part of $\sum_\Gamma (\widehat{I}_{\Gamma_1} \otimes \cdots \otimes \widehat{I}_{\Gamma_{r(\Gamma)}}) \cdot \Gamma$ that does not have terms of $\operatorname{sign} X$. The first 2 terms $\#\mathscr{M}_{\Gamma_k}(\vec{\xi}_{\Gamma_k}) - \#\mathscr{M}_{\Gamma_k}^{\mathrm{local}}(\vec{\gamma}_{\Gamma_k})$ in $\widehat{I}_{\Gamma_k}$ can be considered as counting flow-graphs in N or $N^{G'}$ ($G' \subset G$) or in $T^v X$. If a flow-graph from Γ does not meet neither V_i nor $V_i^{G_i}$ nor $T^v X_i$, it is counted as the same value before and after the surgery, and it is cancelled in the alternating sum $\mathscr{S}$. Thus, only the flow-graphs from Γ that meet V_i or $V_i^{G_i}$ or $T^v X_i$ for each i may contribute to the alternating sum $\mathscr{S}$.

If a flow-graph I with $2n$ black vertices meets V_i or $V_i^{G_i}$ but no black vertices of I lie there, then there are white vertices of I in V_i or $V_i^{G_i}$. Let p be one of such white vertices. If $\operatorname{ind} p = 0$, then the flow-graph I can be interpreted as a 0-chain in $(\overline{N \setminus V_i})^{\times 2n} = (N \setminus V_i^{G_i})^{\times 2n}$. This shows that the count of I does not change under the surgery on G_i, and that I does not contribute to the alternating sum $\mathscr{S}$. If $\operatorname{ind} p = 1$, then the flow-graph I, viewed as a 0-chain in $N^{\times 2n}$, can be interpreted as the transversal intersection of the ascending manifold of p and a piecewise smooth 1-chain of $\overline{N \setminus V_i}$. Now we use the coherence of the family $\{(h_j^{G'}, \xi_j^{G'})\}_{G' \subset G}$. The change of the count of I before and after the surgery on G_i is then the transversal intersection of the locus of the gradient flow from the boundary of the ribbon graph $C_k^{(i)}$ with the 1-chain. Since the locus from the ribbon graph can be made arbitrarily thin, the transversal intersections always occur in pairs and the intersection number at the pair is 0. Namely, the flow-graphs that does not have any black vertices in neither V_i nor $V_i^{G_i}$ nor $T^v X_i$ for each i does not contribute to the alternating sum $\mathscr{S}$.

Therefore, the only flow-graphs that may contribute to the alternating sum $\mathscr{S}$ are those having a black vertex in either V_i, $V_i^{G_i}$ or $T^v X_i$ for each i. Under the assumption $m \geq 2n$, such a situation can be achieved only for the case where

$m = 2n$ and where there are exactly one black vertex in V_i or $V_i^{G_i}$ for each i. If there is a black vertex in $T^v X_i$, then the whole of a connected component of Γ is mapped to a single $T^v X_i$. Hence we do not need to consider such a case. Namely, only the case where $m = 2n$ and where there is exactly one black vertex in V_i or $V_i^{G_i}$ for each i is left. The contribution of such a case agrees with $Z_{2n}([N, G])$. □

Proof of Theorem 3.1 (1). This follows immediately from Lemma 3.7. □

Corollary 3.8. *If $m = 2n$, then only the flow-graphs that is the disjoint union of $2n$ Y-shaped flow-graphs may contribute nontrivially to $Z_{2n}([N, G])$.*

Proof. By Lemma 3.7, a flow-graph I that may contribute to $Z_{2n}([N, G])$ occupies G. Thus there is no compact edge in I connecting two black vertices. Namely, every edge of I must be separated edges. □

4. $\widehat{Z}_{2n}$ as finite type invariant: evaluation

4.1. **Algebraic Seifert surface of a leaf and equivariant linking number.** Here, we shall give a preliminary for the proof of Theorem 3.1 (2). Let $S_*(\widetilde{M})$ be the complex of piecewise smooth singular chains of $\widetilde{M}$ with coefficients in $\mathbb{Q}$. In the following, we identify $C_*(f, h; \widehat{\Lambda})$ with the image of a natural embedding

$$\phi^M : C_*(f, h; \widehat{\Lambda}) \to S_*(\widetilde{M}) \otimes_\Lambda \widehat{\Lambda}$$

defined by the CW-structure of $\widetilde{M}$ for the Morse–Smale gradient. Then one can see that ϕ^M is a chain equivalence.

Let p be a critical point of $\mu_i : V_i \to (-\infty, 0]$ of index 1 and let $c = \phi^N(p)$, which corresponds to a leaf of a Y-graph G_i.

Lemma 4.1. *For a combinatorial propagator g of the acyclic complex $C_*(\mathrm{id}, h; \widehat{\Lambda})$, we have $p = \partial g(p)$ and $c = \partial \phi^N(g(c))$.*

Proof. By definition of combinatorial propagator, we have $p = (\partial g + g\partial)(p) = \partial g(p)$. □

We shall define the intersection form with holonomy between base pointed cells. For two Morse–Smale gradients $\xi^{(1)}, \xi^{(2)}$ on M, we consider the associated CW-structures. We choose a base point of each d-dimensional cell as the critical point of index d on the cell. Let C_1, C_2 be two cells of the CW-structures for $\xi^{(1)}, \xi^{(2)}$ respectively such that $\dim C_1 + \dim C_2 = 3$ and that they intersect transversally at finitely many points. Let v_1, v_2 denote the base points of C_1, C_2 respectively. For each point x of $C_1 \cap C_2$, choose a path γ_1 in C_1 that goes from v_1 to x and a path γ_2 in C_2 that goes from x to v_2, and we define the weight $W(x)$ of the intersection point x as $W(x) = \pm \mathrm{Hol}(\gamma_1 * \gamma_2)$. Here the sign is the sign of the intersection. Then we define

$$\langle C_1, C_2 \rangle_\pi = \sum_{x \in C_1 \cap C_2} W(x) \in \Lambda.$$

This does not depend on the choices of γ_1, γ_2 whereas it depends on the choices of $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \Sigma_3$.

Next, we shall define the triple intersection form with holonomies. For three Morse–Smale gradients $\pm\xi^{(1)}, \pm\xi^{(2)}, \pm\xi^{(3)}$ on M, we consider the associated CW-structures. Let C_1, C_2, C_3 be three cells of the CW-structures for $\pm\xi^{(1)}, \pm\xi^{(2)}, \pm\xi^{(3)}$ respectively such that the sum of their codimensions is 3 and that they intersect "transversally", that is, the orthogonal complements of TC_i $(i = 1, 2, 3)$ span the direct sum in TM. Let v_1, v_2, v_3 be the base points of C_1, C_2, C_3 respectively. For each point x of $C_1 \cap C_2 \cap C_3$, let γ_k be a flow-line of $\xi^{(k)}$ that connects v_k and x, and we define the weight $W(x)$ of x as $W(x) = \pm\mathrm{Hol}(\gamma_1) \otimes \mathrm{Hol}(\gamma_2) \otimes \mathrm{Hol}(\gamma_3)$. Here the sign is the sign of the intersection. Then we define

$$\langle C_1, C_2, C_3 \rangle_\pi = \sum_{x \in C_1 \cap C_2 \cap C_3} W(x) \in \Lambda^{\otimes 3}.$$

By extending all the above by $\widehat{\Lambda}$-linearity, the intersection forms with holonomies among two or three $\widehat{\Lambda}$-chains are defined. These forms are defined only for transversal chains. If the chains are not transversal, then we consider the intersection form of them as the one defined after perturbing the gradients slightly so that the transversality is satisfied, not affecting the arguments in other part.

Let p' be a critical point of $\mu_\ell : V_\ell \to (-\infty, 0]$ $(\ell \neq i)$ of index 1 and let $c' = \phi^N(p')$. Take g as above and put $g(c) = \phi^N(g(p))$. Since c, c' represent leaves of Y-graphs in G, these are nullhomotopic in $\widetilde{N}$ by the assumption of Y-graphs. For two component link $c \cup c'$, their equivariant linking number is defined by

$$\ell k_\pi(c, c') = \sum_{z \in \pi} \ell k(\tilde{c}, z\tilde{c}')z \in \mathbb{Z}\pi,$$

where $\tilde{c}, \tilde{c}'$ are lifts of c, c' in $\widetilde{N}$, and $\ell k(\tilde{c}, z\tilde{c}')$ is the usual linking number in $\widetilde{N} = \mathbb{R}^3$. The indeterminacy of changing the lifts $\tilde{c}, \tilde{c}'$ is the multiplication by elements of π. Thus $\ell k_\pi(c, c')$ is well-defined modulo π. The following lemma is a straightforward analogue of [Les2, Proposition 3.3], with Lemma 4.1 in mind.

Lemma 4.2. *Put $\widehat{\ell k}_\pi(c, c') = \langle g(c), c' \rangle_\pi$. Then the following identity holds.*

$$\widehat{\ell k}_\pi(c, c') = \ell k_\pi(c, c') \quad (mod\ \pi)$$

The value of the left hand side of the equation in lemma 4.2 does not depend on the choice of the lifts $\tilde{c}, \tilde{c}'$ and is determined uniquely with respect to $\Sigma_1, \Sigma_2, \Sigma_3$.

Lemma 4.3. *The two chains of V_ℓ and $V_\ell^{G_\ell}$ that are induced from $g(c)$ induces relative 2-cycles of $(V_\ell, \partial V_\ell)$ and $(V_\ell^{G_\ell}, \partial V_\ell)$ respectively, and they induce the same 1-cycle on $\partial V_\ell = \partial V_\ell^{G_\ell}$. Hence, by gluing the two relative cycles along the common boundary, a 2-cycle of $W_\ell = V_\ell \cup_\partial (-V_\ell^{G_\ell})$ is obtained.*

Proof. That $g(c)$ induces the same 1-cycle on $\partial V_\ell = \partial V_\ell^{G_\ell}$ is because the gradient of h above the level 0 does not change under the surgery. By Lemma 4.1, $g(c)$ has no boundary in both V_ℓ and $V_\ell^{G_\ell}$, and hence induces relative 2-cycles of $(V_\ell, \partial V_\ell)$ and $(V_\ell^{G_\ell}, \partial V_\ell)$ respectively. □

Lemma 4.4. *Let $p_1^{(\ell)}, p_2^{(\ell)}, p_3^{(\ell)}$ be the critical points of $\mu_\ell : V_\ell \to (-\infty, 0]$ of index 1 and let $p_1^{(\ell)*}, p_2^{(\ell)*}, p_3^{(\ell)*}$ be relative 2-cycles that represents the Poincaré–Lefschetz*

duals of $[p_1^{(\ell)}], [p_2^{(\ell)}], [p_3^{(\ell)}]$. *Then the relative homology class in* $(V_\ell, \partial V_\ell)$ *induced from* $g(c)$ *is given by the following formula.*

$$\widehat{\ell k}_\pi(c, c_1^{(\ell)})[p_1^{(\ell)*}] + \widehat{\ell k}_\pi(c, c_2^{(\ell)})[p_2^{(\ell)*}] + \widehat{\ell k}_\pi(c, c_3^{(\ell)})[p_3^{(\ell)*}]$$

Proof. This follows immediately from Lemma 4.2. □

In $S_*(\widetilde{M}) \otimes_\Lambda \widehat{\Lambda}$, the relative 2-cycles $p_1^{(\ell)*}, p_2^{(\ell)*}, p_3^{(\ell)*}$ are explicitly given by $\mathscr{A}_{p_1^{(\ell)}}(\xi) \cap V_\ell, \mathscr{A}_{p_2^{(\ell)}}(\xi) \cap V_\ell, \mathscr{A}_{p_3^{(\ell)}}(\xi) \cap V_\ell$ respectively.

4.2. $\widehat{Z}_{2n}$ **for alternating sums of degree** $2n$**.** As a Y-link $G = \{G_1, G_2, \dots, G_{2n}\}$, we take the Y-link obtained from an embedding $\Gamma \to N$ with ribbon structure that represents a monomial π-decorated graph $\Gamma(\alpha)$ of degree $2n$. Then $\psi_{2n}([\Gamma(\alpha)])$ is given by the equivalence class of $[N, G]$ in $\mathscr{F}^Y_{2n}(N)/\mathscr{F}^Y_{2n+1}(N)$. We shall compute the value of $\widehat{Z}_{2n}$ for this alternating sum. By Lemma 3.7, it suffices to compute the value of Z_{2n} for the alternating sum.

Let H be an edge-oriented, vertex-oriented labelled trivalent graph of degree $2n$. Let H° be the undecorated $\vec{C}$-graph of degree $2n$ obtained from H by replacing every edge with separated edges. Then H° is a disjoint union of Y-shaped components. Let H_i° $(i = 1, 2, \dots, 2n)$ be the Y-component of H° that includes the i-th black vertex. We consider each one in the half-edge decomposition $e^{(k)} = e_+^{(k)} \cup e_-^{(k)}$ ($e_+^{(k)}$ is on the output-side, $e_-^{(k)}$ is on the input-side) in the k-th separated edge as a degree 1 object, and consider the exterior product $(e_+^{(1)} \wedge e_-^{(1)}) \wedge (e_+^{(2)} \wedge e_-^{(2)}) \wedge \cdots \wedge (e_+^{(3n)} \wedge e_-^{(3n)})$. By permuting the half-edges in this product, we obtain an expression of the form $T_1 \wedge T_2 \wedge \cdots \wedge T_{2n}$, where T_i is the wedge of the three half-edges incident to the i-th black vertex, and then T_i determines a vertex-orientation of the i-th black vertex. If the half-edges e, e', e'' around the i-th black vertex of H° gives T_i in this order, and if the critical points that are attached to the white vertices of e, e', e'' are p, p', p'' respectively, then we write $H_i^\circ = H_i^\circ(\boldsymbol{p})$ $(\boldsymbol{p} = (p, p', p''))$. Also, if $H^\circ = H_1^\circ(\boldsymbol{p}_1) \cup \cdots \cup H_{2n}^\circ(\boldsymbol{p}_{2n})$, then we write $H^\circ = H^\circ(\boldsymbol{p}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{p}_{2n})$.

The contribution in $Z_{2n}(f, \vec{\xi})$ of the flow-graphs from graphs of the form H° is

$$\sum_{\boldsymbol{p}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{p}_{2n}} \mathrm{Tr}_{\vec{g}}\Big(\#\mathscr{M}_{H_1^\circ(\boldsymbol{p}_1)}(\vec{\xi}) \cdots \#\mathscr{M}_{H_{2n}^\circ(\boldsymbol{p}_{2n})}(\vec{\xi}) \cdot H^\circ(\boldsymbol{p}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{p}_{2n})\Big),$$

where $\boldsymbol{p}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{p}_{2n}$ are such that every pair of critical points of separated edges are of degree 1. We denote this by $I(\vec{\xi}, H)$. Each term $\#\mathscr{M}_{H_i^\circ(\boldsymbol{p}_i)}(\vec{\xi})$ is the triple product with holonomies for some three 2-chains formed along the vertex-orientation. For example, if among the three white vertices in $H_i^\circ(p_i, p_i', p_i'')$ the one with p_i attached is input and the other two with p_i', p_i'' attached are outputs, then $\#\mathscr{M}_{H_i^\circ(\boldsymbol{p}_i)}(\vec{\xi}) = \langle \overline{\mathscr{D}}_{p_i}, \overline{\mathscr{A}}_{p_i'}, \overline{\mathscr{A}}_{p_i''} \rangle_\pi \in \Lambda^{\otimes 3}$. The term $\#\mathscr{M}_{H_1^\circ(\boldsymbol{p}_1)}(\vec{\xi}) \cdots \#\mathscr{M}_{H_{2n}^\circ(\boldsymbol{p}_{2n})}(\vec{\xi}) \cdot H^\circ(\boldsymbol{p}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{p}_{2n})$ is a linear combination of graphs with $3n$ inputs and $3n$ outputs. Let $y_1, y_2, \dots, y_{3n}$ be the critical points that are attached on the input white vertices of $H^\circ(\boldsymbol{p}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{p}_{2n})$, and let $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{3n}$ be those on the corresponding outputs, so that y_i and x_i are attached on a single separated edge. Put

$$X(x_1, \dots, x_{3n}; y_1, \dots, y_{3n}) = \#\mathscr{M}_{H_1^\circ(\boldsymbol{p}_1)}(\vec{\xi}) \cdots \#\mathscr{M}_{H_{2n}^\circ(\boldsymbol{p}_{2n})}(\vec{\xi}) \cdot H^\circ(\boldsymbol{p}_1, \dots, \boldsymbol{p}_{2n}),$$
$$R(x_1, \dots, x_{3n}; y_1, \dots, y_{3n}) = \#\mathscr{M}_{H_1^\circ(\boldsymbol{p}_1)}(\vec{\xi}) \cdots \#\mathscr{M}_{H_{2n}^\circ(\boldsymbol{p}_{2n})}(\vec{\xi}).$$

Then $I(\vec{\xi}, H)$ is rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{\substack{x_1,\dots,x_{3n}\\ y_1,\dots,y_{3n}}} \mathrm{Tr}_{\vec{g}}\Big(X(x_1,\dots,x_{3n};y_1,\dots,y_{3n})\Big)\\ =&\Big[\sum_{x_1,\dots,x_{3n}} R(x_1,\dots,x_{3n};g^{(1)}(x_1),\dots,g^{(3n)}(x_{3n}))\cdot \mathrm{Close}(H^\circ)\Big].\end{aligned} \tag{4.1}$$

Here, $R(x_1,\dots,x_{3n};y_1,\dots,y_{3n})$ is extended to chains by $\widehat{\Lambda}$-linearity and $\mathrm{Close}(H^\circ)$ is the trivalent graph that is obtained from the $\vec{C}$-graph H° by identifying the two white vertices in each separated edge. The terms in the sum in the right hand side of (4.1) are linear combinations of $\mathrm{Close}(H^\circ)$ together with holonomy data. The holonomy data is given by a product of $2n$ terms like $\langle \overline{\mathscr{D}}_{g^{(1)}(x_1)}, \overline{\mathscr{A}}_{x_2}, \overline{\mathscr{A}}_{x_3}\rangle_\pi$, where the product is taken by multiplying the holonomies of the two chains for each separated edge so that the coefficient belongs to $\Lambda^{\otimes 3n}$.

By Corollary 3.8, for the coherent families $\{(h_j^{G'}, \xi_j^{G'})\}_{G'\subset G}$ $(j=1,2,\dots,3n)$ of Morse–Smale pairs, we have

$$Z_{2n}([N,G]) = \frac{1}{2^{3n}(2n)!(3n)!}\sum_H \sum_{G'\subset G} (-1)^{|G'|} I(\vec{\xi}^{G'}, H). \tag{4.2}$$

Here, the first sum is taken for edge-oriented, vertex-oriented labelled trivalent graphs H of degree $2n$. Let $S_H(\sigma(1),\dots,\sigma(2n))$, $\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_{2n}$, denote the part in the alternating sum $\sum_{G'\subset G}(-1)^{|G'|}I(\vec{\xi}^{G'},H)$ in (4.2) consisting of terms of flow-graphs such that for each i the i-th black vertex lies in $V_{\sigma(i)}$ or $V_{\sigma(i)}^{G_{\sigma(i)}}$. Then we have

$$Z_{2n}([N,G]) = \frac{1}{2^{3n}(2n)!(3n)!}\sum_H \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_{2n}} S_H(\sigma(1),\dots,\sigma(2n)).$$

Lemma 4.5. *The following identity holds.*

$$S_H(1,2,\dots,2n) = \Big[\sum_{x_1,\dots,x_{3n}} \prod_{i=1}^{2n} (Q_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{(i)}(0) - Q_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{(i)}(1))\cdot \mathrm{Close}(H^\circ)\Big]$$

Here, $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1,\dots,x_{3n};g^{(1)}(x_1),\dots,g^{(3n)}(x_{3n}))$*, and for* $\varepsilon_i = 0$ *or* 1*,*

$$Q_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{(i)}(\varepsilon_i) = \begin{cases} \#\mathscr{M}_{H_i^\circ(\boldsymbol{p}_i)}(\vec{\xi}^{G_i}) & (\varepsilon_i = 1)\\ \#\mathscr{M}_{H_i^\circ(\boldsymbol{p}_i)}(\vec{\xi}) & (\varepsilon_i = 0)\end{cases}$$

The critical points $\boldsymbol{p}_1,\dots,\boldsymbol{p}_{2n}$ *are taken so that they correspond to* $\boldsymbol{x}$*.*

Proof. By (4.1), $S_H(1,2,\dots,2n)$ is

$$\sum_{G'\subset G}(-1)^{|G'|}\Big[\sum_{x_1,\dots,x_{3n}} Q_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{(1)}(\varepsilon_1)Q_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{(2)}(\varepsilon_2)\cdots Q_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{(2n)}(\varepsilon_{2n})\cdot\mathrm{Close}(H^\circ)\Big].$$

The right hand side of the formula of the lemma is obtained by rewriting this expression. □

For $S_H(\sigma(1),\dots,\sigma(2n))$, similar formula will be obtained by replacing $Q_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{(i)}(1)$ in the formula of Lemma 4.5 with $\#\mathscr{M}_{H_i^\circ(\boldsymbol{p}_i)}(\vec{\xi}^{G_{\sigma(i)}})$.

The indices of the critical points $x_1,\dots,x_{3n}$ in the formula of Lemma 4.5 may be restricted as follows.



FIGURE 6. A modification of σ^{G_i}

Lemma 4.6. *After perturbing the gradients $\xi_j^{G'}$ without affecting the previous assumptions, we may restrict the Y-shaped flow-graphs in Corollary 3.8 to those such that the critical points attached on input white vertices are all of index 2 and that the critical points attached on output white vertices are all of index 1.*

Proof. Let I be a flow-graph in $N^{G'}$ for some $G' \subset G$ with $2n$ black vertices consisting only of Y-shaped components and suppose that I occupies G. This implies that every output white vertices of a Y-shaped component are mapped to critical points in some V_ℓ or $V_\ell^{G_\ell}$. We may assume the following.

(1) I has no output white vertex on which a critical point of index 2 is attached.
(2) I has no input white vertex on which a critical point of index 3 is attached. Indeed, if I had such a white vertex, then the input vertex were paired with an output white vertex on which a critical point of index 2 is attached. This is a contradiction.

We define the index of a Y-shaped component J in I as $(a_1, \dots, a_k | a_{k+1}, \dots, a_3)$ if the indices of the critical points attached on the input white vertices of J are $a_1, \dots, a_k$ and if the indices of the critical points attached on the output white vertices of J are $a_{k+1}, \dots, a_3$. By the condition that the dimension of the moduli space is 0, the possibilities for the indices of Y-components in I are as follows.

$$(2,2,2|), (1,2,3|), (|1,1,1), (|0,1,2),$$
$$(2,2|1), (2,1|0), (3,1|1), (2|1,1), (1|0,1), (3|1,2)$$

Among these, $(2,2,2|), (|1,1,1), (2,2|1), (2|1,1)$ satisfy the condition of the lemma. Further, by the result of the previous paragraph, we do not need to consider the cases $(1,2,3|), (|0,1,2), (3,1|1), (3|1,2)$. Hence it suffices to check that the remaining cases $(2,1|0), (1|0,1)$ does not contribute to the alternating sum $Z_{2n}([N,G])$.

Let K be a Y-shaped component in I of index $(2,1|0)$. Suppose that K has a black vertex in V_i or $V_i^{G_i}$ and that the two input half-edges with critical points of indices $2,1$ are labelled by k, ℓ respectively. By (4.1), we may consider that on the input white vertex of K of index 2, the chain $g(p)$ for some critical point p of $h_k^{G'}$ of index 1 with negative critical value is attached, extending I to chains. Let q be the critical point of $h_\ell^{G'}$ of index 1 that is attached on an input white vertex of K. According to Lemma 4.3, $g(p)$ induces a relative 2-cycle in $(V_i, \partial V_i)$, which we now denote by σ. Then the intersection between σ and $\mathscr{D}_q$ corresponds to K.

If q lies in V_i, then q is a 1-cycle and by Lemma 4.2, we have $\langle \sigma, \mathscr{D}_q \rangle_\pi = \widehat{\ell k}_\pi(\phi^N(p), \phi^N(q))$. Since this value does not change under the surgery on G_i, the flow-graph I does not contribute to the alternating sum $Z_{2n}([N, G])$ in this case.

If q lies outside V_i, then a leg of $\mathscr{D}_q$ goes to a critical point s of index 0 in V_i or $V_i^{G_i}$ by assumption. We remark that since $\overline{\mathscr{D}}_q$ induces a relative 1-cycle of $(V_i, \partial V_i \cup \{s\})$, the value of $\langle \sigma, \mathscr{D}_q \rangle_\pi$ above will not change by adding to σ the boundary of a 3-chain in V_i that does not meet $\partial V_i \cup \{s\}$. Now we assume that the gradients for Morse functions $\mu_i, \mu_i^{G_i}$ are as follows. We assume that the support of the Torelli surgery for the surgery on G_i is included in a ribbon graph R in ∂V_i. Then we may assume that for a real number $\kappa < 0$ with small absolute value, both the manifolds $V_i, V_i^{G_i}$ and the gradients for $\mu_i, \mu_i^{G_i}$ agree on the complement of $R \times [\kappa, 0]$, respectively. Here, the direct product structure $R \times [\kappa, 0]$ is the one generated by the gradient of μ_i, and we consider that $V_i^{G_i}$ is obtained from V_i by surgery within $R \times [\kappa, 0]$. Furthermore, we may assume that this property is satisfied for every pair $\xi_j, \xi_j^{G_i}$. By perturbing the gradient of h_ℓ in $N \setminus V_i$, we may assume that $\mathscr{D}_q \cup \{s\}$ does not meet $R \times [\kappa, 0]$ in both V_i and $V_i^{G_i}$. Note that this perturbation is independent of the gradients in V_i's and does not affect all the previous vanishing results. By Lemma 4.3 again, $g(p)$ induces a relative 2-cycle of $(V_i^{G_i}, \partial V_i)$, which we now denote by σ^{G_i}, whose boundary agrees with that of σ. We may assume that the relative cycles σ, σ^{G_i} are both disjoint from $\{s\}$. By modifying σ^{G_i} by adding the boundary of a 3-chain in $V_i^{G_i}$ that does not meet $\partial V_i \cup \{s\}$, we may assume that σ and σ^{G_i} agree on the complement of $R \times [\kappa, 0]$. See Figure 6. Under all the above assumptions, $\langle \sigma, \mathscr{D}_q \rangle_\pi$ is invariant under the surgery on G_i, and hence the flow-graph I does not contribute to the alternating sum $Z_{2n}([N, G])$ in this case, too.

Next, let L be a Y-shaped component in I of index $(1|0, 1)$. Suppose that L has a black vertex in V_i or $V_i^{G_i}$ and that the input and output half-edges with critical points of index 1 are labelled by k, ℓ respectively. L corresponds to the intersection in V_i or $V_i^{G_i}$ of the ascending manifold of a critical point r of $h_\ell^{G'}$ of index 1 in V_i or $V_i^{G_i}$, with the descending manifold of a critical point q of $h_k^{G'}$ of index 1. By the same argument as above, the difference of $\mathscr{A}_r$ before and after the surgery on G_i can be squeezed into $R \times [\kappa, 0]$ for a ribbon graph R. Since $\mathscr{D}_q$ can be taken so that it does not meet $R \times [\kappa, 0]$, the intersection $\langle \mathscr{A}_r, \mathscr{D}_q \rangle_\pi$ does not change under the surgery, and the flow-graph I does not contribute to the alternating sum $Z_{2n}([N, G])$ in this case.

Finally, notice that the gradients may be perturbed so that every Y-shaped component in any I with indices $(2, 1|0)$ and $(1|0, 1)$ vanish simultaneously. □

Lemma 4.7. *Recall that $W_i = V_i \cup_\partial (-V_i^{G_i})$. Let $\beta_1^{(i)}, \beta_2^{(i)}, \beta_3^{(i)}$ be the basis of $H_1(W_i)$ given by the three 1-handles of V_i. The following identity holds.*

$$\begin{aligned}&\sum_{x_1,\dots,x_{3n}} \prod_{i=1}^{2n} (Q_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{(i)}(0) - Q_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{(i)}(1)) \\ &= \pm \prod_{e=(j,k)\in \mathrm{Edges}(H^\circ)} \sum_{1\le a,b\le 3} \widehat{\ell k}_\pi(\beta_a^{(j)}, \beta_b^{(k)}) \cdot \prod_{\ell=1}^{2n} \langle \alpha_1^{(\ell)}, \alpha_2^{(\ell)}, \alpha_3^{(\ell)} \rangle\end{aligned} \tag{4.3}$$

Proof. We consider the difference $\#\mathscr{M}_{H_i^\circ(\boldsymbol{p}_i)}(\vec{\xi}) - \#\mathscr{M}_{H_i^\circ(\boldsymbol{p}_i)}(\vec{\xi}^{G_i})$ of triple products such that the index of $H_i^\circ(\boldsymbol{p}_i)$ defined in the proof of Lemma 4.6 satisfies the condition of Lemma 4.6. By the same reason as in the proof of Lemma 3.7, this difference may not vanish only when there are flow-graphs from $H_i^\circ(\boldsymbol{p}_i)$ with the i-th vertex included in V_i or $V_i^{G_i}$. There exist 2-chains $T_m^{(i)}(\xi_\ell)$ $(m = 1, 2, 3)$ of the form $\overline{\mathscr{D}}_{g^{(\ell)}(x_k)}(\xi_\ell)$ or $\overline{\mathscr{A}}_{x_j}(\xi_\ell) \cap V_i$ such that the difference $\#\mathscr{M}_{H_i^\circ(\boldsymbol{p}_i)}(\vec{\xi}) - \#\mathscr{M}_{H_i^\circ(\boldsymbol{p}_i)}(\vec{\xi}^{G_i})$ can be written as follows.

$$\left\langle T_1^{(i)}(\xi_{\ell_1}) - T_1^{(i)}(\xi_{\ell_1}^{G_i}),\, T_2^{(i)}(\xi_{\ell_2}) - T_2^{(i)}(\xi_{\ell_2}^{G_i}),\, T_3^{(i)}(\xi_{\ell_3}) - T_3^{(i)}(\xi_{\ell_3}^{G_i}) \right\rangle_\pi \tag{4.4}$$

This is the count of triple intersections in W_i with weights. If $T_m^{(i)}$ is a descending manifold, then the boundaries of $T_m^{(i)}(\xi_\ell)$ and $T_m^{(i)}(\xi_\ell^{G_i})$ agree on ∂V_i, and then $T_m^{(i)}(\xi_\ell) - T_m^{(i)}(\xi_\ell^{G_i})$ is a 2-cycle in W_i (Lemma 4.3). By Lemma 4.4,

$$[T_m^{(i)}(\xi_\ell) - T_m^{(i)}(\xi_\ell^{G_i})] = \widehat{\ell k}_\pi(x_k, c_1^{(i)})\alpha_1^{(i)} + \widehat{\ell k}_\pi(x_k, c_2^{(i)})\alpha_2^{(i)} + \widehat{\ell k}_\pi(x_k, c_3^{(i)})\alpha_3^{(i)}$$

in $H_2(W_i)$. If $T_m^{(i)}$ is an ascending manifold, then by closing $T_m^{(i)}(\xi_\ell) - T_m^{(i)}(\xi_\ell^{G_i})$ by a ribbon graph as Lemma 3.3, we obtain a 2-cycle in W_i that is homologous to $\alpha_k^{(i)}$. Further, if the ribbon graphs that close the three chains are chosen sufficiently thin, then the intersections between the ribbon graphs in ∂V_i are those induced from transversal double points between edges. Hence no triple intersection of 2-chains involving the ribbon graphs will be produced after perturbing the 2-chains slightly. This shows that the additions of the ribbon graphs to $T_m^{(i)}(\xi_\ell) - T_m^{(i)}(\xi_\ell^{G_i})$ does not change the value of the triple product (4.4). Therefore, the triple product (4.4) is a linear combination of terms of the forms $\langle \alpha_{k_1}^{(i)}, \alpha_{k_2}^{(i)}, \alpha_{k_3}^{(i)} \rangle$ and its coefficients are given by products of equivariant linking numbers between leaves of Y-graphs.

Let $e = (j, k)$ be a separated edge of H°. Consider the following element of $H_4(W_j \times W_k; \Lambda)$ with (untwisted) Λ-coefficients

$$L_e = \sum_{1\le a,b\le 3} \widehat{\ell k}_\pi(\beta_a^{(j)}, \beta_b^{(k)})\, \alpha_a^{(j)} \otimes \alpha_b^{(k)}.$$

This is represented by the moduli space of flow-graphs from a separated edge whose pairs of black vertices lie in W_j and W_k, respectively. Let $\widehat{L}_e$ be the element of $H_{6n-2}(W_1 \times W_2 \times \cdots \times W_{2n}; \Lambda)$ obtained from $W_1 \otimes W_2 \otimes \cdots \otimes W_{2n}$ by replacing the j-th and the k-th factors with L_e. By the argument above, the following identity holds.

$$\sum_{x_1,\dots,x_{3n}} \prod_{i=1}^{2n} (Q_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{(i)}(0) - Q_{\boldsymbol{x}}^{(i)}(1)) = \prod_{e\in \mathrm{Edges}(H^\circ)} \widehat{L}_e$$

The product in the right hand side is the usual intersection form

$$H_{6n-2}(\prod_{i=1}^{2n} W_i;\Lambda)^{\otimes 3n} \to H_0(\prod_{i=1}^{2n} W_i;\Lambda^{\otimes 3n}) = \Lambda^{\otimes 3n}.$$

This product can be rewritten as the right hand side of the formula of the lemma. □

Although the sign in the right hand side of (4.3) depends on the graph orientation of H°, it is cancelled out by the graph orientation after multiplying (4.3) to a graph. For the details, we refer the reader to [KT, Les1]. The following lemma is immediate.

Lemma 4.8. $\prod_{e=(j,k)\in \mathrm{Edges}(H^\circ)} \sum_{a,b} \widehat{\ell k}_\pi(\beta_a^{(j)}, \beta_b^{(k)}) \neq 0$ *iff there exists an automorphism* $H \cong \pm\Gamma$ *that preserves the labels of vertices.*

For an abstract trivalent graph Γ, let $\mathrm{Aut}_e\Gamma$ be the group of automorphisms of Γ that fix all vertices. Let $\mathrm{Aut}_v\Gamma$ be the group of permutations of vertices of Γ that give automorphism of Γ. We have $|\mathrm{Aut}\,\Gamma| = |\mathrm{Aut}_e\Gamma||\mathrm{Aut}_v\Gamma|$.

Proof of Theorem 3.1(2). By Hypothesis 3.5, the value of the right hand side of (4.3) agrees with $\prod_{e=(j,k)\in \mathrm{Edges}(H^\circ)} \sum_{a,b} \widehat{\ell k}_\pi(\beta_a^{(j)}, \beta_b^{(k)})$, whose value is the sum of monomials that correspond to the decorations of Γ obtained by composing edge automorphisms of Γ and a map $\Gamma \to N$ whose holonomy gives the monomial π-decorated graph $\Gamma(\alpha)$. Each term becomes the same element in $\mathscr{A}_{2n}(\pi)$ after multiplying it to H°. Thus

$$S_H(1,2,\dots,2n) = \begin{cases} |\mathrm{Aut}_e\Gamma|[\Gamma(\alpha)] & \text{if there exists an automorphism } H \cong \pm\Gamma \\ & \quad \text{that preserves the labels of vertices} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The value of $S_H(\sigma(1),\dots,\sigma(2n))$ is the same as this. Among the permutations $\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_{2n}$ of the set of vertices, there are $|\mathrm{Aut}_v\Gamma|$ elements satisfying the condition of Lemma 4.8. For a trivalent graph, there are $\frac{2^{3n}(2n)!(3n)!}{|\mathrm{Aut}\,\Gamma|}$ different ways of giving edge-orientations and labellings. Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} Z_{2n}([N,G]) &= \frac{1}{2^{3n}(2n)!(3n)!} \sum_H \sum_{\sigma\in\mathfrak{S}_{2n}} S_H(\sigma(1),\dots\sigma(2n)) \\ &= \frac{1}{2^{3n}(2n)!(3n)!} \frac{2^{3n}(2n)!(3n)!}{|\mathrm{Aut}\,\Gamma|} |\mathrm{Aut}_v\Gamma||\mathrm{Aut}_e\Gamma|[\Gamma(\alpha)] = [\Gamma(\alpha)]. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof. □

5. The first invariant $\widehat{Z}_2$ for connected sum of N with an integral homology sphere

Let $N = T^3$ and let $f : M \to N$ be a $\mathbb{Z}\pi$-homology equivalence that represents an element of $\mathscr{K}(N)$. If we put

$$\lambda_\pi(M) = \widehat{Z}_2(M) - \widehat{Z}_2(N),$$

then by Theorem 2.4, λ_π is an invariant of $\mathbb{Z}\pi$-homology equivalences over T^3. If $M = N\#S$ for an integral homology sphere S, then M can be represented by an algebraically split framed link in a small ball in N, and it determines a $\mathbb{Z}\pi$-homology equivalence $f : M \to N$ uniquely up to homotopy.

Theorem 5.1. *For $N = T^3$ and an integral homology sphere S, the following identity holds.*

$$\lambda_\pi(N\#S) = \frac{\lambda(S)}{2}[\Theta],$$

where λ is the Casson invariant, and Θ is the 1-decorated theta-graph with vertex-orientation given by blackboard ribbon structure and counter-clockwise order at each trivalent vertex.

Proof. Consider the exact sequence

$$0 \to \mathscr{F}_2^Y(N)/\mathscr{F}_3^Y(N) \to \mathscr{F}^Y(N)/\mathscr{F}_3^Y(N) \xrightarrow{\eta} \mathscr{F}^Y(N)/\mathscr{F}_2^Y(N) \to 0.$$

Here, since $\mathscr{F}_2^Y(N) = \mathscr{F}_1^Y(N)$, we have $\mathscr{F}^Y(N)/\mathscr{F}_2^Y(N) = \mathscr{F}^Y(N)/\mathscr{F}_1^Y(N)$, which is one-dimensional and is generated by the class of $\mathrm{id} : N \to N$. The space $\mathscr{F}_2^Y(N)/\mathscr{F}_3^Y(N) = \operatorname{Ker}\eta$ is generated by elements of the form $[M] - [N]$ ($[M] \in \mathscr{K}(N)$). In particular, if $M = N\#S$, then by Theorem 3.1(2), $\lambda_\pi(M)$ is a linear combination of 1-decorated graphs of degree 2. If S is represented by a framed link L in S^3, then this value agrees with the difference $\widetilde{I}_1((S^3)^L) - \widetilde{I}_1(S^3)$ of the (unframed) Kontsevich–Kuperberg–Thurston invariant ([Ko, KT]), and by a result of [KT], this value agrees with $\frac{\lambda(S)}{2}[\Theta]$ (see also [Les1]). □

By Theorem 5.1, λ_π can be considered as an extension of the Casson invariant up to a constant. This can be computed by modifying $N^L - N$ for a π-algebraically split framed link L to a linear combination of the images of ψ_2 of 2-loop graphs in $\mathscr{F}_2^Y(N)/\mathscr{F}_3^Y(N)$, by the method given in [GL, §4.3].

6. Further generalizations

6.1. **The case $N = S^2 \times S^1$, $\pi = \mathbb{Z}$.** The construction of the perturbative invariant $\widehat{Z}_{2n}$ in §2 also woks for $\mathbb{Z}\pi$-homology equivalences $f : M \to N$ to $N = S^2 \times S^1$. In this case, $\pi_2 N = \mathbb{Z} \neq 0$ and as in [GL], one should consider finite type invariants for the equivalence relation $\sim$ among $\mathbb{Z}\pi$-homology equivalences, which is coarser than the diffeomorphism equivalence. Roughly, the relation $\sim$ corresponds to an operation that changes the image in N from a 2-handle in a 4-bordism between f and $\mathrm{id} : N \to N$, by an element of $\pi_2 N$. Then Theorems 1.1, 3.1 and 5.1 still hold, with $\Lambda = \mathbb{Q}[t^{\pm 1}]$ and $\widehat{\Lambda} = \mathbb{Q}(t)$. The invariant obtained is a special case of that of [Wa2].

6.2. **The case $N = L(p,q)$, $\pi = \mathbb{Z}_p$.** If N is a lens space $L(p,q)$ $(p, q > 0,\ p \neq 1)$, then $\pi = \mathbb{Z}_p$, $\pi_2 N = 0$ and the perturbative invariant $\widehat{Z}_{2n}$ can be defined as an invariant of $\mathbb{Z}\pi$-homology equivalences $f : M \to N$ in a similar way. In this case, the definition of holonomy must be modified as follows, since $\Omega_2(N) = 0$. Choose a Morse function $h : N \to \mathbb{R}$ having only one critical point in each index, and choose a Morse–Smale gradient ξ for h. As in [Fr], this gives an (oriented) CW structure with one cell σ_i in each dimension $i = 0, 1, 2, 3$ such that $\partial\sigma_2$ covers σ_1 p times. Then, for a generic bounded path $\gamma : J \to N$, we define its holonomy $\mathrm{Hol}(\gamma)$ by t^m, where m is the intersection number of γ and σ_2, and the value t^m is considered as an element of $\langle t \mid t^p = 1\rangle \approx \pi$. One can see that $\mathrm{Hol}(\gamma)$ is invariant under a homotopy of γ fixing the endpoints. With this holonomy, the perturbative invariant

$\widehat{Z}_{2n}$ is defined in the same way as before. Then Theorems 1.1, 3.1 and 5.1 still hold, with $\Lambda = \widehat{\Lambda} = \mathbb{Q}[t]/(t^n) \approx \mathbb{Q}[\zeta]$ ($\zeta = e^{2\pi i/p}$).

For example, homotopy equivalence $L(p, q') \to L(p, q)$ is obviously a $\mathbb{Z}\pi$-homology equivalence. It is known that there are non-homeomorphic pair $L(p, q'), L(p, q)$ with the same homotopy type, e.g., $L(25, 4)$ and $L(25, 9)$ are homotopy equivalent, non-homeomorphic, and moreover, they cannot be distinguished by the LMO invariant ([BL]). See [BL, Mo] for relevant results.

Problem 6.1. Is there a pair $q' \neq q$ of positive integers such that λ_π is nontrivial for a homotopy equivalence $L(p, q') \to L(p, q)$?

6.3. **The cases $N = K(G, 1)$.** Let G be a free abelian group of rank p and let M be a closed, connected, oriented 3-manifold equipped with a smooth map $f : M \to K(G, 1) = T^p$. Such a pair (M, f) is called a *3-manifold over $K(G, 1)$*.[‡] We say that two 3-manifolds over $K(G, 1)$, (M, f) and (M', f'), are diffeomorphically equivalent if there exists a diffeomorphism $g : M \to M'$ such that f is homotopic to $f' \circ g$. For a 3-manifold M over $K(G, 1)$, choose a Morse function $h : M \to \mathbb{R}$ and a Morse–Smale gradient ξ, and consider the twisted Morse complex $C = C_*(f, h; \widehat{\Lambda})$, $\widehat{\Lambda} = Q(\Lambda)$, $\Lambda = \mathbb{Q}[t_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, t_p^{\pm 1}]$. We make the following assumption for the pair (M, f).

Hypothesis 6.2. *$H_i(\mathrm{End}_{\widehat{\Lambda}}(C)) = 0$ for $i = 0, 1$.*

Under Hypothesis 6.2, the perturbative invariant $\widehat{Z}_{2n}$ can be defined in a similar way as above, as an invariant of (M, f) under diffeomorphism equivalence. Namely, it follows from Hypothesis 6.2 that (1): the identity $1 \in \mathrm{End}_{\widehat{\Lambda}}(C)_0$ is nullhomologous,[§] which implies that there exists a combinatorial propagator for C. Moverover, (2): for any two combinatorial propagators g, g' for C, the cycle $g' - g \in \mathrm{End}_{\widehat{\Lambda}}(C)_1$ is nullhomologous, which implies that there exists $h \in \mathrm{End}_{\widehat{\Lambda}}(C)_2$ such that $g' - g = \partial h - h\partial$. The facts (1) and (2) were the only constraints on the homological properties of C used in the proof of well-definedness of $\widehat{Z}_{2n}$ (see [Wa1, Wa2] for detail).

For general abelian group G, one may also define perturbative invariant $\widehat{Z}_{2n}$ for 3-manifolds (M, f) over $K(G, 1)$ if holonomy can be defined suitably, and if Hypothesis 6.2 holds. At present, we do not have finite type invariant that $\widehat{Z}_{2n}$ for this general case fits into. Possibly related results in this direction can be found in [HW].

Note that Hypothesis 6.2 is weaker than the acyclicity of C. For example, in the case $N = L(p, q)$ of §6.2, N is not $K(\pi, 1)$ and C is not acyclic, whereas Hypothesis 6.2 is satisfied.

Acknowledgments

I am supported by JSPS Grant-in-Aid for Young Scientists (B) 26800041. I would like to thank K. Habiro, K. Ichihara, D. Moussard, T. Ohtsuki for helpful questions, comments and information.

[‡]In [Tu], such a pair (M, f) is called a G-manifold.

[§]This corresponds to the condition that the diagonal Δ_M in $M \times M$ is nullhomologous (in a twisted complex).

References

[BL] D. Bar-Natan, R. Lawrence, *A Rational Surgery Formula for the LMO Invariant*, Israel J. Math. **140** (2004), 29–60.

[BH] D. Burghelea, S. Haller, *On the topology and analysis of a closed one form I*, Monogr. Enseign. Math. **38** (2001), 133–175.

[CE] H. Cartan, S. Eilenberg, Homological algebra, Princeton University Press, Princeton, N. J., 1956. xv+390 pp.

[Fr] J. Franks, *Morse-Smale flows and homotopy theory*, Topology **18** (1979), 199–215.

[Fu] K. Fukaya, *Morse Homotopy and Chern–Simons Perturbation Theory*, Comm. Math. Phys. **181** (1996), 37–90.

[GGP] S. Garoufalidis, M. Goussarov, M. Polyak, *Calculus of clovers and finite type invariants of 3-manifolds*, Geom. Topol. **5** (2001), 75–108.

[GL] S. Garoufalidis, J. Levine, *Homology surgery and invariants of 3-manifolds*, Geom. Topol. **5** (2001), 551–578.

[GO] S. Garoufalidis, T. Ohtsuki, *Finite type 3-manifold invariants III: manifold weight system*, Topology **37** (1998), 227–243.

[Ha] K. Habiro, *Claspers and finite type invariants of links*, Geom. Topol. **4** (2000), 1–83.

[HW] K. Habiro, T. Widmer, *Kirby calculus for null-homologous framed links in 3-manifolds*, arXiv:1404.0282.

[Jo] D. Johnson, *A survey of the Torelli group*. Low-dimensional topology (San Francisco, Calif., 1981), 165–179, Contemp. Math., **20**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1983.

[Ko] M. Kontsevich, *Feynman diagrams and low-dimensional topology*, First European Congress of Mathematics, Vol. II (Paris, 1992), Progr. Math. **120** (Birkhauser, Basel, 1994), 97–121.

[KT] G. Kuperberg, D. P. Thurston, *Perturbative 3-manifold invariants by cut-and-paste topology*, arXiv:math/9912167.

[Le] T. T. Q. Le, *An invariant of integral homology 3-sphere which is universal for all finite type invariants*, in "Soliton, Geometry and Topology: On the Crossroad", AMS Transl. Ser 2 **179** (1997), 75–100.

[LMO] T. T. Q. Le, J. Murakami, T. Ohtsuki, *On a universal perturbative invariant of 3-manifolds*, Topology **37** (1998), no. 3, 539–574.

[Les1] C. Lescop, *On the Kontsevich-Kuperberg-Thurston construction of a configuration-space invariant for rational homology 3-spheres*, arXiv:math/0411088.

[Les2] C. Lescop, *On the cube of the equivariant linking pairing for knots and 3-manifolds of rank one*, arXiv:1008.5026.

[Les3] C. Lescop, *Invariants of knots and 3-manifolds derived from the equivariant linking pairing*, Chern–Simons gauge theory: 20 years after, AMS/IP Stud. Adv. Math. **50** (2011), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 217–242.

[Mo] D. Moussard, *Finite type invariants of rational homology 3-spheres*, Algebr. Geom. Topol. **12** (4), (2012), 2389–2428.

[Oh1] T. Ohtsuki, *Finite type invariants of integral homology 3-spheres*, J. Knot Theory Ramifications **5** (1996), 101–115.

[Oh2] T. Ohtsuki, *Perturbative invariants of 3-manifolds with the first Betti number 1*, Geom. Topol. **14** (2010), 1993–2045.

[Oh3] T. Ohtsuki, *A refinement of the LMO invariant for 3-manifolds with the first Betti number 1*, preprint in preparation, 2008.

[Sh] T. Shimizu, *An invariant of rational homology 3-spheres via vector fields*, arXiv:1311.1863, To appear in Algebr. Geom. Topol.

[Tu] V. Turaev, *Homotopy field theory in dimension 3 and crossed group-categories*, arXiv:math/0005291.

[Wa1] T. Watanabe, *Higher order generalization of Fukaya's Morse homotopy invariant of 3-manifolds I. Invariants of homology 3-spheres*, arXiv:1202.5754, to appear in Asian J. Math.

[Wa2] T. Watanabe, *Higher order generalization of Fukaya's Morse homotopy invariant of 3-manifolds II. Invariants of 3-manifolds with* $b_1 = 1$, arXiv:1605.0562.

[We] C. A. Weibel, An introduction to homological algebra, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, **38** Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1994. xiv+450.

Department of Mathematics, Shimane University, 1060 Nishikawatsu-cho, Matsue-shi, Shimane 690-8504, Japan

E-mail address: tadayuki@riko.shimane-u.ac.jp